

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Kanyabwanga Subcounty

Mitooma District

(Vote Code: 893)

Assessment Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 70%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score	
A. Function	A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	PDCs were formed and are functioning, Minutes of PDCs and list of proposals were submitted for the revolving fund	2	
2		Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	Parish data for the LLG was submitted	2	
	Maximum score is 2				
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	There are no NGOs operating in the LLG thou no mapping reporting	0	
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	The approved activities to be implemented within the Parish were incorporated in the AWPB	2	

1

0

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

The PDCs were provided guidance on Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish through field visits

B. Planning and Budgeting

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

The prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget are consistent with the LLG approved development plan

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

The ranked priorities from all parish were incorporated in the AWPB

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0

The LLG did not hold the budget conference due to limimted funds

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0

The LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG and other funding sources

1

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	The LLG did not have project profiles for capital investment in the AWP and Budget	0
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	The LLG budget was submitted to the District	1
5	for the current FY:	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	The LLG did not submit the procurement plan on time	0
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	The prioritized investment in the approved LLG Budget comply to the DDEG Grant	2
C. Own Sou	urce Revenue Mobiliz	ation and Administration		
7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within \pm 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG collected OSR within 10% of the budget	1
	Maximum score is 1			
8		Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	The OSR collected increased by more than 5 %	1

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG remitted the mandatory share of OSR to the LG and administrative units and the transfer vouchers were available	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	The LLG spend more than 20% of OSR on councilors allowances	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	The LLG Budgeted and used at least 5% of OSR funds on operational and maintenance	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	The LLG did not Publicize the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY,	0
D. Financi 10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	The LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General on	4

Maximum score is 4

time

11

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

The report was available but was not submitted on time

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

The report was

0

0

0

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG and physical progress Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

available but was not submitted on time

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

The LLG has

submitted all 4

quarterly financial

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG and physical progress Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

The report was available but was not submitted on

reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time

and in the prescribed

format

Maximum score is 6

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

submitted on time by 29th-7-2021

The report was

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

3

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

Maximum score is 2

	_			_
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	The SAS appraised all staff in the LLG including extension	2
	Maximum score is 6	(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	workers and personnel files were reviewed and submitted	
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	The SAS apprasied Primary School	2
	previous FY Maximum score is 6	(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Head teachers in public primary schools	
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	HC In-charge is appraised by the DHO and not the	0
	previous FY Maximum score is 6	(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	SAS	
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	The list of LLG staff	3
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	was publicized, Personnel files reviewed, staff attendance register and staff performance reports were produced and available.	
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	Monthly analysis of	3
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	staff attendance was Produced with recommendations	
F. Implementation and Execution				
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	The LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG on eligible activities as per DDEG grant	2

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

on the land score 1 or else 0

If the LLG has a functional Area Land

committee in place to assist the LG Land

board in an advisory capacity on matters

relating to land, including ascertaining rights functional

1

The Area Land

committee is in place and its

19

The LLG has a

functional land

management system

Maximum score 1

	20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	There are no reports on awareness campaigns and parents' mobilization
	21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:	There are monitoring reports to prove that the LLG did monitoring in schools
			If all schools (100%) - score 4	
			If 80 - 99% - score 2	
			If 60 to 79% score 1	
			Below 60% score 0	
2	22	Existence and functionality of School Management	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	The LLG has functional school management

LLG did monitoring

monitoring reports

3

Committees

Maximum score is 3

functional school management committees in all schools and the minutes were in place

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23

24

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0 There are reports on awareness campaigns and community mobilization

4

3

Maximum score is 3

The LLG monitored health service during the previous FY

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous delivery at least twice FY, score 4 or else score 0

The SAS has monitoring reports on health service delivery

0

0

0

Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0

The LLG has a functional Health unit Management Committee and the minutes were in place

Maximum score is 3

J. Water & Environment Services Management

26 Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY

budgets

Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the to the DWO for planning of the current FY score 3, else score consideration

The SAS did not submit his request

Maximum score is 3

27

The LLG has monitored water and delivery during the previous FY

Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services environment services during the previous FY environment including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0

There is no report on water and monitoring by the SAS

Maximum score is 3

28

Existence and and Sanitation Committees

Evidence that the LLG have functional Water The LLG has no functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0

functional Water and Sanitation Committees

Maximum score is 2

29

Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0

The SAS has no updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities including public **latrines**

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30

Development of the **Physical Development Plans** as per guidelines

Maximum score 2

(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines (i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0.

Maximum score 2

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

32

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan (i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

32

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan (ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else

Maximum score 2

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure (i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure (ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure (iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

L. Production Services Management

34

Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

The LLG has reports on production statistics

2

2

2

35

Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Reports on awareness were available, materials distribution lists and attendance lists were also in place

36

The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Monthly monitoring reports by extension staff and Supervision reports by SAS were available

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations out

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, on training were operation and maintenance of equipment, organized and carried linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Reports and attendance sheets available

38

hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

Maximum score is 2

The LLG has provided If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

The LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and field reports were available. MAIFF no longer gives agricultural extension diaries to extension staff